Distribution of allergens detected on patch tests of patients with allergic contact dermatitis and investigation of their atopic background
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\begin{abstract}
\textbf{Aim:} Allergic contact dermatitis (ACD) is a delayed hypersensitivity reaction to specific allergens that come in contact with the skin. Detection of the culprit allergen plays a central role in the effective treatment and management of this chronic disease. The present study aims to report distribution of allergens that cause ACD by analyzing patch test results, and investigate atopic background of these patients.

\textbf{Materials and Methods:} Results from patch tests performed on patients with ACD in the Department of Adult Allergy and Clinical Immunology at a tertiary hospital in Anatolian side of Istanbul were retrospectively assessed. Data regarding age, gender, occupation, lesion localization, history of suspected exposure, total Immunoglobulin E levels, concomitant allergic rhinitis and skin prick test results were inspected.

\textbf{Results:} Of the 131 patients, female/male ratio was 91 (69.5%)/40 (30.5%). Patch test results were negative in 56 (42.7%) patients, and positive for at least one allergen in 75 (57.3%) patients. Five most common allergens with a positive result were nickel sulphate (25.2%), gold sodium thiosulfate (13%), cobalt (9.9%), thiomersal (9.9%), and colophony (5.3%), respectively. A comparison between patch test results and gender did not demonstrate any statistical significance. Young patients had a significantly higher positive reaction to thiomersal (p = 0.008). No correlation was found between atopic background and ACD.

\textbf{Conclusion:} Patch test results significantly contribute in the differential diagnosis and management of patients with dermatitis. Metals and thiomersal were the most common allergens detected by patch tests in our study. This is in correlation with previous research. We believe that types of allergens may go through an alteration as the environment and lifestyle changes over time, and that our findings contribute to determine which allergens to be used in patch tests in the future.
\end{abstract}

\section*{Introduction}
Allergic contact dermatitis (ACD) is a delayed hypersensitivity reaction to specific allergens that come in contact with the skin. The skin lesion is an eczema-like dermatitis characterized by pruritus, and erythematous macules, papules, vesicles and bullae in acute cases, and lichenification in chronic cases [1-3]. The reported prevalence is 15-28% in general population [4]. Patch testing is used to confirm the diagnosis of ACD, and identify the allergens that cause the skin reaction. It is recommended to perform a patch test to every patient with chronic dermatitis due to unpredictable nature of the disease [5]. It has been postulated that individuals with atopic dermatitis are prone to have ACD due to immune dysregulation including common cytokine pathways, and frequent use of ointments and topical medication [6]. The present study aims to share results from standard epicutaneous patch tests performed on patients with ACD, assess frequency and distribution of culprit allergens, and investigate atopic background with skin prick tests.

\section*{Materials and Methods}
The study was conducted in the Department of Adult Allergy and Clinical Immunology at a tertiary hospital in Anatolian side of Istanbul. Results of patch and skin prick tests, and information regarding age, gender, occupation, lesion localization, history of suspected exposure, total Immunoglobulin E levels, concomitant allergic rhinitis and skin prick test results were inspected. A comparison between patch test results and gender did not demonstrate any statistical significance. Young patients had a significantly higher positive reaction to thiomersal (p = 0.008). No correlation was found between atopic background and ACD.
tests performed between September 2019 and September 2020 on patients referred with an initial diagnosis of contact dermatitis based on dermatological examination were retrospectively analyzed. One hundred thirty-one patients were enrolled.

Patients

Data regarding past medical history, physical examination, age, gender, occupation, lesion localization, history of suspected exposure, total Immunoglobulin E (IgE) levels, concomitant allergic rhinitis, skin prick test results, and patch test results were inspected. A total IgE level of \( \geq 100 \text{ UI/mL} \) (normal range, 0-100 UI/mL) was accepted as high.

Skin prick testing

Skin prick tests were performed using standard commercially available allergen extracts (grasses mix, cereals mix, tree mix, Dermatophagoides (D.), pteronyssinus, D. farinae, acarus siro, cockroach, cat epithelia, dog epithelia, aspergillus fumigatus, alternaria, cladosporium, lepidoglypus destructor, tyrophagus putrescentiae, artemisia vulgaris, parietaria officinalis, plantago lanceolata, ambrosia artemisiifolia, corylus avellana, alder, olea europaea, ash, oak, populus alba, betula alba)(Alk-Abello, Lincoln Diagnostics, Dallas, TX, USA). Skin prick tests were performed on both forearms in accordance with international guidelines. Histamine (10 mg/mL) was used as positive control, and sterile saline 0.09% as negative control. A wheel diameter of \( \geq 3 \text{ mm} \) greater than the negative control after 15 minutes of application was considered as a positive prick test [7].

Patch testing

A written informed consent was obtained from each patient. Patients were instructed to avoid use of topical corticosteroids for 7 days, systemic steroids for 3 weeks prior to test application. The Thin-Layer Rapid Use Epicutaneous (T.R.U.E.@SmartPractice, Denmark)Test which includes 36 allergens in 3 tapes (panels 1, 2 and 3) was used. (Table 1). The patches were applied to a clean, dry, hairless and lesion-free area of the upper back. Patients were advised to keep the test area dry and avoid sweating. Patches were removed 48 hours later and test sites were marked. First reading was done following a 30-minute rest. Second, and third in necessary cases, readings were done 72 and 96 hours after application. Tests were interpreted as negative (-) if there was no reaction; weak positive (+) if there was erythema and infiltration; strong positive (+++) if there was erythema, infiltration, papules and vesicles; extreme positive (++++) if there was erythema, infiltration, coalescing vesicles and bullae [8].

Statistical analysis

Normal distributions of numerical variables were tested with the Shapiro-Wilk test. While comparing the groups in terms of the variables with normal distribution, the independent samples t test was used, and the Mann Whitney U test was used for the variables that were not normally distributed. Continuous variables are presented as median and range (minimum-maximum). Categorical variables are presented as counts and proportions. Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was used to compare groups. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows version 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA) was used for all statistical analyses. A two-sided p value of \(<0.05\) was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Of the 131 patients, female/male ratio was 91 (69.5%)/40 (30.5%), and median age was 36 (range, 29-48). There were 45 (34.4%) housewives, 18 (13.7%) factory workers, 11 (8.4%) students, 9 (6.9%) healthcare workers, and 18 (13.7%) patients from other occupations (cook, engineer, teacher, retired).

Lesions were located in hands in 38.2% of patients, scalp and face in 47.3%, hands and feet in 8%, arms in 35.9%, legs in 20.6%, feet in 0.8%, and torso in 30.5%. Forty-six (35.1%) patients had allergic rhinitis symptoms, 34 (26%) patients had a positive skin prick test, and 24 (18.3%) patients had elevated total IgE levels. The general characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allergen</th>
<th>Positive Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nickel sulphate</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold sodium thiosulfate</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiomersal</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colophony</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Five most common allergens with a positive result were nickel sulphate (25.2%), gold sodium thiosulfate (13%), cobalt (9.9%), thiomersal (9.9%), and colophony (5.3%), respectively. Patch test results were negative in 56 (42.7%) patients, and positive for at least one allergen in 75 (57.3%) patients.

Thirty-eight (29%) patients had a positive test for one allergen, whereas 37 (28.2%) had a positive test for more than one allergens. The distribution and percentages of positive allergens are shown in Figure 1, and the distribution and percentages of patients with more than one allergen positive are shown in Figure 2.

Of 33 patients with nickel allergy, 15 had allergic rhinitis symptoms with a positive skin prick test in 5, and a negative skin prick test in 10. A detailed history of all 10 patients with a negative skin prick test revealed increase in rhinitis symptoms after nickel-rich food (e.g. spinach, chocolate) intake.

Twenty-four (18.3%) patients had a history of suspected exposure, and 11 (45.8%) of them had compatible positive allergy test results. Of the patients with a positive allergy test result compatible with a history of suspected exposure, 6 were healthcare workers (5 allergic thiomersal and 1 allergic to formaldehyde), 2 were factory workers (1 allergic to colophony and 1 allergic to Cl+ Me- isothiazolione), and 3 were housewives (1 allergic to para-phenylenediamine, 1 allergic to cobalt and 1 allergic to formaldehyde).

A comparison between patch test results and gender, existence of atopy, total IgE levels and occupations did not demonstrate any statistical significance. Statistically significant difference was only detected between allergy to thiomersal and age. Median age of patients with a negative reaction to thiomersal was 37.5 (range, 31-49), and median age of patients with a positive reaction to thiomersal was 28 (range, 25-36) (\(p = 0.008\)).
Table 1. TRUE Test® allergens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allergen</th>
<th>µg/cm²</th>
<th>Allergen</th>
<th>µg/cm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colophony</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>Mercapto Mix</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wool alcohols</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Gold Sodium Thiosulfate</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraben mix</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Mercaptobenzothiazole</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balsam of Peru</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>Potassium dichromate</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caine mix</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>Ethylenediamine dihydrochloride</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neomycin sulphate</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>Epoxy resin</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidazolidinyl urea</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>Disperse blue 106</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacitracin</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>p-tert-Butylphenol formaldehyde resin</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazolidinyl urea</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>Thiuram Mix</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragrance mix</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>Cobalt dichloride</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carba mix</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Hydrocortisone-17-butyrate</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Bromo-2-nitropropane-1 3-diol (Bronopol)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Thimerosal</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel sulphate</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Methylidibromo glutaronitrile</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinoline Mix</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>Cl+ Me- Isothiazolinone</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Tixocortol-21-pivalate</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaternium-15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Parthenolide</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Phenylenediamine</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Budesonide</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black rubber mix</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Distribution of allergens in positive patch tests

Figure 2. Distribution of patients with more than one positive allergen reactions

Discussion

Detection of the culprit allergen plays a central role in the management of ACD. Previous research shows a 45-65% rate of positive patch test results, and a female predominance among patients with ACD [9-11]. In the present study, 57.3% of the participants had a positive patch test, and most of the participants were women. However, distribution of allergens did not significantly differ between genders.

Metals (nickel sulphate [25.2%], gold sodium thiosulfate [13%] and cobalt [9.9%]) were the most common allergens detected by standard patch tests in our series. Metals were followed by thiomersal, colophony, carbamate mix, para-phenylenediamine, wool alcohol, potassium dichromate and para tertiary butylphenol formaldehyde resin. Sensitivity to nickel sulphate has been reported to be between 12-30.7% [12, 13]. Similarly, we observed a nickel sulphate sensitivity of 25.2%. Human contact with metals such as gold, chrome, copper, cobalt, nickel and silver is very frequent in the present day. Examples include cloth-
ing ornaments, dental materials, kitchen appliances, jewelry, mobile telephones and electronics. In the 21st century a trend of an increase in nickel sensitivity which is largely attributed to increased use of nickel-containing electronic devices, and body piercings and other ornaments, has been seen [14]. Nickel hypersensitivity can also cause allergic reactions in the respiratory tract [15]. One third of patients with nickel sensitivity in our study demonstrated rhinitis symptoms following nickel-rich food intake. Patients with ACD due to nickel hypersensitivity may demonstrate systemic nickel allergy syndrome [16]. Therefore, along with a dermatological examination, ACD patients should be questioned for rhinitis and/or asthma symptoms, headaches, abdominal pain and fatigue following a nickel-rich meal. Limitation of nickel contact has been shown to improve symptoms [17-19]. Patients with nickel allergy should be informed regarding nickel-containing products, nickel-rich foods, and even implantable materials such as dental or orthopedic implants, surgical staplers or intrauterine devices. Second most common allergen in the present series was gold sodium thiosulfate, followed by cobalt. Coexistence of allergy to nickel, gold and cobalt has been frequently reported, however, controversy remains whether this is caused by cross-reaction, or true allergic reaction [20, 21]. Further research is needed.

Thiomersal was the most common allergen following metals in the present study. Thiomersal is used as a preservative in vaccines, allergen extracts, antiseptic solutions, cosmetics and contact lens solutions. Previous research also reports that thiomersal is among the most common allergens [11, 22]. In the current study we observed thirty-two patients had a significantly higher positive reaction to thiomersal (p = 0.008). In the study of Yu DS et al. similar to our study, thiomersal sensitivity was found to be higher in the younger age group [23]. Van 't Veen AJ et al. conducted a study on 2461 patients between 1987-1992 and found the rate of tomersal sensitivity to be 1.3%. It is remarkable that thiomersal susceptibility rates have increased over the years [24]. The high susceptibility rates in the young patient population today can be considered as a precursor that the thimerosal susceptibility rates will increase further in the coming years. We believe that high incidence of thiomersal sensitivity among young patients is a result of improved vaccination programs. Among 9 healthcare workers in the study, 5 had sensitivity to thiomersal and reported worsening of symptoms after contact with antiseptic solutions. In addition to vaccination programs, we believe increased use of antiseptic solutions contribute to increased rate of thiomersal sensitivity.

The most common allergens following thiomersal were colophony (5.3%), carbamate mix (4.6%), paraphenylenediamine (4.6%), wool alcohol (3.8%), potassium dichromate (3.8%) and para tertiary butylphenol formaldehyde resin (3.8%). Colophony is used in pharmaceuticals, carbamates are used in rubber products, para-phenylenediamine is used in hair dyes, wool alcohol (lanolin) is used in cosmetics, potassium dichromate is used as an ingredient in cement and for leather tanning, and formaldehyde resin is used in cosmetics and topical medications. Rates of sensitivity to these allergens were similar to previous reports [2, 5, 8, 11, 25-27]. Twenty-six percent of patients had atopy in the present study, and we did not observe atopic background to be a risk factor for ACD. Previous research also shows that incidence of ACD is similar between atopic and non-atopic individuals [28, 29].

In conclusion, a detailed history, physical examination, and patch test contribute significantly to the diagnosis and treatment management of a patient with dermatitis. Metals and thiomersal were determined as the most common allergens in the data we obtained from patch test results in our study, and these data were found similar to the studies conducted in our country and in the world. With the changing world and technology, it is expected that there will be changes in allergen types. We think that by sharing the patch test results data, it will be a guide to recreate the allergen types to be used in the standard series.
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