

# The relation between sleep habits, fatigue, impact on family and quality of life in children with first-time cancer and recurrent cancer

Ayşe Numanoglu Akbas<sup>1</sup>, Hatice Yakut<sup>2</sup>, Deniz Erdan Kocamaz<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Sivas, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Suleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Isparta, Turkey

<sup>3</sup>Hasan Kalyoncu University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Gaziantep, Turkey

Copyright © 2019 by authors and Annals of Medical Research Publishing Inc.

## Abstract

**Aim:** This study was planned to determine the conditions related to sleep, fatigue, and impact on family in children with Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and acute myeloid leukemia (AML) who had cancer for the first time and children who had recurrent cancer.

**Material and Methods:** The study included 74 children (41 girls, 33 boys) with a mean age of  $8.11 \pm 3.77$  years. Children evaluated with child and parent report of Pediatric Quality of Life "and PedsQL Healthcare Satisfaction Generic Module" should be added. Inventory (PedsQL) Cancer Module, Children's Sleep Habits Questionnaire (CSHQ), Borg Scale and Impact on Family Scale (IPFAM).

**Results:** There was a statistically significant difference, in terms of PedsQL parent report total score ( $p=0.003$ ), between the children who had cancer for the first time and children who had recurrence cancer, and the values of latter were higher. A positive correlation was found between the PedsQL child and parent report scores for all children with cancer ( $p= 0.000$ ).

**Conclusion:** According to the results of our study, it was seen that children who have recurrent cancer had reported a better quality of life and that children and parents have similar perceptions in terms of quality of life.

**Keywords:** Child; Cancer; Recurrence; Quality of Life.

## INTRODUCTION

Cancer is less common in children than in adults and 0.5% of all cancers are seen in children less than 15 years of age. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2007) data, the most common childhood cancer is acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) with a 27.5% rate (1). ALL is an aggressive type of leukemia characterized by the presence of too many lymphoblast or lymphocytes in the bone marrow and peripheral blood. ALL is the most common childhood cancer and 78% of leukemia are reported to be ALL (2,3). Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is another type of cancer that is common in children, with bone marrow forming a large number of abnormal blood cells (4).

Children diagnosed with cancer often stay in hospitals for a long time during treatment. In many studies, it has been

reported that children and adolescents with cancer often experience symptoms of pain, nausea, vomiting, insomnia, fatigue, anxiety, and emotional and physical stress (5-7). These conditions may affect the quality of life of children negatively. Recurrence of cancer has been investigated as one of the determinants of quality of life in children in various studies and it has been stated as a factor that negatively affects the quality of life (8).

There are negative effects of childhood cancers and chemotherapy agents used in treatment on sleep and fatigue (9). Children with cancer are reported to be extremely sleepy during daytime most (10). Considering the great effects sleep has on cognitive status, psychological health, cognitive skills, and quality of life, it is seen how important it is to evaluate and improve sleep quality. Similar to sleep disorders, fatigue may also

**Received:** 16.02.2019 **Accepted:** 12.03.2019 **Available online:** 26.03.2019

**Corresponding Author:** Ayşe Numanoglu Akbas, Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Sivas, Turkey, **E-mail:** aysenumanoglu@gmail.com

affect children with cancer (11,12). Since fatigue related to cancer emerges simultaneously with disturbances in sleep patterns, it is assumed that there is a strong relationship between these two conditions (13).

Musculoskeletal system problems due to cancer and cancer treatment, which is a long-lasting, painful process, affect not only children with cancer but also their families, and they are also exposed to major difficulties in this process (14). In this respect, it is important to evaluate the impact on the family in children with cancer.

The studies on quality of life have been increasing in childhood cancer patients by prolonging treatment processes and increasing rate of survival. However, these studies generally evaluate the quality of life of the child based on the parent reports. Despite it is known that the child's own report is more reliable for assessing quality of life, family report is required when the child is too young, cognitively affected, cannot provide valid information when they are sick and tired, since these types of cancer are most frequently seen between 2-6 years of age (15). In this respect, it is important to evaluate the quality of life with the family report (16,17). However, in order to fully understand the quality of life, both the family's and the child's answers are very important. Therefore, both family and child reports were used to evaluate the quality of life in our study. The aim of this study is to determine the effects of sleep, fatigue, and family impact in children with ALL and AML, and to determine the effects of these conditions on the quality of life of children who had cancer for the first time or who had recurrent cancer.

## MATERIAL and METHODS

### Participants

The families accepted to participate in the study of the children aged between 2 and 18 who were being treated with cancer at the Pediatric Oncology Service of Gaziantep University Oncology Hospital were included in this study. In addition to the diagnosis of cancer, children with different diagnosis of neurological or metabolic disease were not included in the study. In order to carry out the study, ethics committee approval was obtained from Hasan Kalyoncu University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Non-interventional Clinical Researches Ethics Board (Dec. 12, 2017, numbered 2017-13). Parents of the children included in the study were informed about the study and written informed consent was obtained.

The evaluation of children included in the study was conducted between January 1, 2018 and August 1, 2018. The design of the study was determined as a prospective, descriptive study.

The study was registered to the [clinicaltrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov) website with the name of Quality of Life in Children and Cancer and number of NCT03190499.

(Can be reached at <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03190499?term=numano%C4%9Flu&rank=1>.)

For all children; information about age (years), height (cm), body mass (kg), gender, exercise history, whether the cancer is a recurrent one or not were recorded.

Health-related quality of life of children is evaluated with the Turkish language version of child and parent report of Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL) Cancer Module (18,19). This survey consists of eight domains as Pain and Hurt, Nausea, Procedural Anxiety, Treatment Anxiety, Worry, Cognitive Problems, Perceived Physical Appearance, and Communication. The scores range from 0 to 100 and high scores indicate better quality of life. In our study, all domain scores and the total score of the scale were calculated. The Turkish version of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory "Healthcare Satisfaction Generic Module" was used to evaluate families' views on health services (20).

Children's sleep habits were evaluated with the Turkish translation of the Children's Sleep Habits Questionnaire (CSHQ) (21,22). This scale consists of 33 items and is filled in by parents. The scale total score above 41 represents clinical sleep disorder. Total scores were calculated for CSHQ.

The family impact was evaluated with the Turkish translation of the Impact on Family Scale (IPFAM), which was developed specifically for families with chronic health problems in their children (23,24). IPFAM scores ranged from 24 to 96 and high scores indicate high impact.

The fatigue of the cases was evaluated with Borg Scale between 0-10. According to the Borg scale, high scores indicate more fatigue.

All evaluations were performed by one of the authors of this study who is a physical therapist, at Pediatric Oncology Service of Gaziantep University Hospital.

### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Version 22, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). The level of statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ . The data were analyzed by Kolmogorov Smirnov test to see whether they show normal distribution or not. As the data did not show normal distribution Mann Whitney U Test was used for group comparisons and Spearman Correlation Analysis for correlation analysis.

## RESULTS

Of the 100 families who were sent surveys for this study, 81 agreed to participate and fill in the questionnaires. Among them, 7 cases were not included in the analysis since there were missing values in the questionnaire forms. The remaining 74 cases with a mean age of  $8.11 \pm 3.77$  years were included in the study. The quality of life of 15 patients under 5 years of age was evaluated with PedsQL parent report and the quality of life of all other cases was evaluated with PedsQL child and parent reports. Among them, 41 cases were girls and 33 were boys. Demographic data of the cases are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Participants			
Demographic Characteristics		(n=74)	
		Frequency	Percent (%)
Diagnosis	ALL	53	71.6
	AML	21	28.4
Gender	Girl	41	55.4
	Boy	33	44.6
Recurrent condition	First-time	62	83.8
	Recurrent	12	16.2
Exercise History	No	71	95.9
	Yes	3	4.1
	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Mean± Std. Deviation</b>
Age (year)	2	17	8.11 ± 3.77
Height (cm)	71	173	124.34 ± 20.76
Weight (kg)	10.0	68.0	26.8 ± 12.18

ALL: Acute Lymphoblastic Lymphoma, AML: Acute Myeloid Lymphoma

When the results were examined according to the CSHQ, it was seen that the minimum scores of the children who had cancer for the first time and those who had cancer recurrence were above the score of 41, which is the cut-off point of the questionnaire.

It was determined that there were significant statistical difference between the children who had cancer for the first time and who had recurrent cancer in terms of the Technical Skills domain score of PedsQL health service satisfaction questionnaire ( $p= 0.047$ ), PedsQL parent report total score ( $p= 0.003$ ), Pain and Hurt domain score ( $p= 0.006$ ), Nausea s domain score ( $p= 0.009$ ), Procedural Anxiety domain score ( $p= 0.008$ ), and the values of recurrent cancer children were higher. Table 2 shows the fatigue, sleep habits, family impact and daily life activities, satisfaction with health services, and quality of life of the first-time and recurrent cancer patients, as well as the differences between these two groups.

Table 2. Differences in terms of fatigues, sleep habits, family impairment, healthcare satisfaction and quality of life among first-time cancer patients and patients with recurrent cancer

Evaluations	First-time cancer (n=62)			Recurrent (n=12)			Mann Whitney U	
	Minimum	Maximum	Mean ± Std. Deviation	Minimum	Maximum	Mean ± Std. Deviation	p	
Borg Scale	1.00	8.00	4.12±1.59	1.00	5.00	3.83±1.11	0.674	
CSHQ	46.00	72.00	57.53±5.44	47.00	69.00	57.08±6.27	0.977	
IPFAM	42.00	87.00	62.53±9.15	49.00	74.00	61.91±8.31	0.930	
PedsQL Healthcare Satisfaction Generic Module	Information	15.00	100.00	76.69±20.42	60.00	100.00	79.58±13.39	0.971
	Inclusion of Family	0.00	100.00	70.46±21.83	50.00	87.50	65.62±12.91	0.179
PedsQL Child Form	Communication	0.00	100.00	58.46±18.47	40.00	90.00	63.33±16.96	0.534
	Technical Skills	0.00	133.33	73.38±20.73	58.33	125.00	86.80±20.24	<b>0.047</b>
PedsQL Parent Form	Emotional Needs	0.00	100.00	66.33±25.00	43.75	87.50	64.06±13.62	0.586
	Overall Satisfaction	25.00	100.00	75.00±18.16	16.67	91.67	67.36±29.40	0.795
PedsQL Parent Form	Total	5.21	87.50	60.13±14.34	50.00	72.92	62.23±6.22	0.523
	Pain and Hurt	0.00	100.00	39.71±29.11	0.00	100.00	53.12±31.13	0.086
PedsQL Parent Form	Nausea	0.00	70.00	39.19±25.01	0.00	75.00	52.08±26.41	<b>0.039</b>
	Procedural Anxiety	0.00	91.67	31.85±26.50	0.00	66.67	40.97±26.22	0.177
PedsQL Parent Form	Treatment Anxiety	0.00	100.00	40.99±29.38	0.00	83.33	42.36±25.73	0.917
	Worry	0.00	100.00	39.38±26.95	0.00	66.67	40.97±21.45	0.846
PedsQL Parent Form	Cognitive Problems	0.00	100.00	38.99±28.95	0.00	80.00	45.41±27.00	0.450
	Perceived Physical Appearance	0.00	100.00	38.44±27.59	0.00	58.33	40.97±20.85	0.917
PedsQL Parent Form	Communication	0.00	91.67	44.35±28.77	0.00	100.00	55.55±29.80	0.213
	Total	0.00	69.44	38.81±22.20	0.00	64.81	46.52±22.34	0.086
PedsQL Parent Form	Pain and Hurt	0.00	100.00	48.58±17.103	37.50	100.00	61.45±17.23	<b>0.006</b>
	Nausea	0.00	80.00	48.14±17.97	30.00	90.00	62.91±16.30	<b>0.009</b>
PedsQL Parent Form	Procedural Anxiety	0.00	91.67	33.33±23.76	0.00	83.33	52.08±24.65	<b>0.008</b>
	Treatment Anxiety	0.00	100.00	40.18±22.10	8.33	66.67	43.05±18.40	0.650
PedsQL Parent Form	Worry	0.00	83.33	44.82±20.81	25.00	100.00	53.47±20.85	0.447
	Cognitive Problems	0.00	100.00	54.24±21.91	0.00	81.25	49.47±22.26	0.552
PedsQL Parent Form	Perceived Physical Appearance	0.00	83.33	46.90±21.02	33.33	83.33	50.00±12.81	0.856
	Communication	0.00	100.00	52.68±21.75	0.00	100.00	59.02±25.98	0.388
PedsQL Parent Form	Total	11.46	72.92	50.73±12.27	46.88	79.17	62.23±9.17	<b>0.003</b>

CSHQ; Children's Sleep Habits Questionnaire. IPFAM: Impact on Family Scale, PedsQL: Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory

Correlation analyzes were performed separately for the data of all children and for the data of ALL and AML children who had cancer for the first time and who had recurrent cancer. A correlation was found between the PedsQL child and parent report scores ( $r = 0.804$ ) in the analysis of all children. For children with cancer for the first time, a correlation was found between the PedsQL child and parent report scores ( $r = 0.792$ ). Moreover, for children with recurrent cancer, correlations between age and PedsQL

children report score ( $r = 0.648$ ), between PedsQL children report score and CSHQ ( $r = -0.640$ ), between PedsQL children report score and PedsQL Health Care Satisfaction ( $r = 0.815$ ), between PedsQL child and parent report scores ( $r = 0.817$ ), as well as between PedsQL child and parent report scores of children with ALL and AML ( $r = 0.763$ ,  $r = 0.895$ ) were found. No relation was found between the other scores ( $p > 0.05$ ). Correlations presented in Table 3.

**Table 3. Relation between fatigues, sleep habits, family impairment and healthcare satisfaction with quality of life of patients**

Evaluations	All Cases (n=74)		First-time cancer (n=62)		Recurrent (n=12)		ALL (n=53)		AML (n=21)	
	r	p	r	p	r	p	r	p	r	p
PEDSQL Child Form-Age	0.255	0.051	0.161	0.270	0.648*	0.043	0.312*	0.044	0.131	0.617
PEDSQL Child Form-BMI	0.101	0.444	0.080	0.584	-0.107	0.769	0.103	0.516	0.079	0.763
PEDSQL Child Form - Borg Scale	-0.192	0.146	-0.243	0.093	0.342	0.333	-0.159	0.315	-0.317	0.214
PEDSQL Child Form - IPFAM	0.003	0.981	-0.053	0.720	0.097	0.789	0.111	0.486	-0.333	0.191
PEDSQL Child Form -CSHQ	-0.095	0.427	-0.024	0.871	-0.640*	0.046	0.020	0.899	-0.415	0.097
PEDSQL Child Form -PEDSQL health care satisfaction scale	-0.070	0.600	-0.233*	0.107	0.815**	0.004	-0.076	0.630	-0.147	0.574
PEDSQL Child Form -PEDSQL Parent Form	0.804**	0.000	0.792**	0.000	0.817**	0.004	0.763**	0.000	0.895**	0.000
PEDSQL Parent Form- Age	0.212	0.069	0.165	0.201	0.142	0.660	0.152	0.276	0.352	0.118
PEDSQL Parent Form -BMI	-0.025	0.834	-0.127	0.326	0.154	0.633	-0.076	0.588	0.103	0.657
PEDSQL Parent Form -Borg Scale	-0.176	0.133	-0.194	0.130	0.125	0.700	-0.083	0.557	-0.408	0.067
PEDSQL Parent Form- IPFAM	-0.105	0.372	-0.194	0.131	0.284	0.372	-0.131	0.352	-0.057	0.805
PEDSQL Parent Form -CSHQ	0.014	0.909	0.045	0.730	-0.329	0.297	0.054	0.702	-0.241	0.292
PEDSQL Parent Form -PEDSQL health care satisfaction scale	-0.020	0.866	-0.085	0.511	0.495	0.102	0.071	0.613	-0.334	0.139

CSHQ; Children's Sleep Habits Questionnaire. IPFAM: Impact on Family Scale, PedsQL: Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory

## DISCUSSION

In this study, the quality of life of the child in terms of the sleep, fatigue, and impact on the family was analyzed from the perspective of child and family according to the acute cancer types of children and the recurrence situation of cancer.

Sleep disorder was detected in all patients included in the study and the sleep quality was associated with decreased quality of life in the group with recurrent cancer. Zupanec et al. concluded that sleep disorders during childhood ALL is very common (87%) and this increases fatigue (25). Van Litsenburg has shown that sleep disorders during treatment are a contributing factor to the low quality of life (26). In our study, it was concluded that fatigue levels were similar at a moderate level in patients with first-time and recurrent cancer, and, contrary to the literature, did not affect the quality of life of children. Gordjin and colleagues reported that although children with ALL reported less sleep and fatigue problems than the normal population, and the sleep disorder and fatigue reported by the family were negatively correlated with quality of life (27).

In the present study, the level of family impact was similar in the first-time and recurrence groups and no correlation were found with the quality of life of the child. When the literature is examined, it is reported that parent's coping skills are one of the important determinants of quality of life in children with cancer however, no such relationship was found in our study (8,28,29).

When the satisfaction of health services evaluated, the Technical Skills domain score was higher in children with recurrent cancer. This may be due to the fact that parents are prepared for the practices in the hospital from their previous experience.

In our study, in the total score of quality of life and scores of Pain and Hurt, Nausea, and Procedural Anxiety domain assessed by parental reports were found to have statistically significant differences between first-time and recurrent cancer groups, and the recurrent group had higher scores. When the literature is reviewed, there are studies reporting that recurrence of cancer is associated with poorer quality of life, as well as studies reporting that children with first-time and recurrent cancer (ALL) had a similar health-related quality of life outcomes (except

for general health) (8). In the studies that the recurrent patients with ALL report their overall health lower than the patient with no cancer recurrence, the late effects of the cancer was shown as responsible for this situation, and the difference was found to be an insignificant difference when late effects were shown to be an important underlying factor for quality of life in recurrent individuals (30). In our study, it was found that children in the recurrence group rated their quality of life as lower than their families, but it was concluded that the quality of life of the children in the group without recurrence was similar. In the study of Zebrack and Chesler, it was observed that recurrence did not contribute to health-related quality of life even when adapted to late effects (31).

In the literature, it is reported that the ability of the family to solve different stress sources related to the child may affect the quality of life of the child (32). The reason for the higher quality of life is reported by parents of children who have recurrent cancer, can be considered as parents have improved their coping and adaptation skills due to their previous experiences, and reduced their expectancies from the life, and accepted their child's current physical or psychosocial symptoms (27). In the literature on the quality of life of childhood cancers, the tendency to deny the difficulties and to report high quality of life even under difficult living conditions, i.e. the "satisfaction paradox", was discussed (33). In our study, families may have experienced the desire to be normal, rather than children, so families may have higher scores on pain, hurt, nausea, and procedural anxiety in the quality of life of their children. It can be thought that the families rated symptoms such as pain, hurt, and nausea in quality of life in better levels by underestimating physical symptoms and think they are less important compared to the life-threatening disease they face.

In our study, a positive correlation was found between the child and the parent reports in terms of quality of life when considering the situation of recurrence and cancer types in all cases. According to a study conducted by Ness et al., a difference between the quality of life of children scores of child and parent reports in newly diagnosed ALL patients was determined. According to this, physical function, emotional and behavioral role, pain, mental and general health, family activities sub-parameters were found to be lower than expected apart from the behavioral sub-parameter (34). In previous studies, it has been concluded that for child's quality of life, scores of the family is always worse than the scores of the child (35, 36). In a longitudinal study of newly diagnosed cancer patients, children reported a higher quality of life than their families. The biggest difference occurred in emotional functions with a score of 9.1 points, while the smallest difference occurred in physical functions with 2.8. These differences were observed to increase in terms of emotional, social, and school functions as children's ages increased (36). Parents often report that their children's quality of life is worse than that of their children because of their worries about their children. Nevertheless, Yeh et

al. also found that the parents reported higher quality of life for their children during or after treatment (37). The variety of the results can be explained by the differences in communication gaps between children and parents or differences in family styles. In this study, this situation is faced only in the parents of children who have recurrent cancer.

The results showed a similar quality of life and many parameters for ALL and AML groups in our study, which ALL cases had the majority. According to a study conducted in Canada, it was found that the treated leukemia patients showed better physical, emotional, and social function scores than other types of cancer according to PedsQL (38). There are no known studies investigating AML and ALL types in terms of quality of life.

In our study, it was concluded that the quality of life of children who have recurrent cancer increases with age. Similar to our study, it was found in leukemia patients that the scores of procedural anxiety, treatment anxiety, and communication steps were lower in young children (39). Nonetheless, there are studies in the literature reporting that quality of life decreases with age as well (8).

### Limitations

In our study, children were divided into groups as who were diagnosed with cancer for the first time and who had the cancer recurrence, as well as ALL and AML-diagnosed. However, for the cancer types, an equal number of patients were not found due to the high incidence of ALL. Besides, the lack of detailed information about the type and treatment phases of medical treatment applied to children and the lack of a healthy control group can be counted as the limitations of this study.

### CONCLUSION

There may be an inconsistency between child and parent reports on the health-related quality of life in children with chronic illness. These differences, in fact, provide important opportunities for clinicians and researchers to demonstrate the potential causes of these differences, which may have an impact on treatment plans and clinical decision-making processes. Therefore, quality of life scores from both perspectives gained importance. In this study, many sub-parameters of health-related quality of life affected in children with ALL were examined and relations of them with various factors that could affect the quality of life were revealed. Overall, a higher quality of life was reported for children with recurrent cancer. It is hoped that the findings obtained from this study will guide the health care personnel to the specific areas to be improved in order to raise the quality of life of these children.

### Acknowledgements

The authors thanks to Nuriye Ozengin (Pt PhD) for her academic support.

*Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interest.*

*Financial Disclosure: There are no financial supports*

*Ethical approval: Hasan Kalyoncu University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Non-interventional Clinical Researches Ethics Board (Dec. 12, 2017, numbered 2017-13).*

## REFERENCES

- Kutluk T. Çocukluk Çağı kanserlerinin epidemiyolojisi ve Türkiye'deki durum. *Türkiye Klinikleri J Pediatric Sci* 2009;5:1-8.
- Board PATE. Adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia treatment (PDQ®) 2017.
- Kaatsch P. Epidemiology of childhood cancer. *Cancer treatment reviews* 2010;36:277-85.
- Board PPTe. Childhood acute myeloid leukemia/other myeloid malignancies treatment (PDQ®) 2017.
- Hedström M, Haglund K, Skolin I, et al. Distressing events for children and adolescents with cancer: Child, parent, an nurse perceptions. *J Pediatric Oncology Nursing* 2003;20:120-32.
- Whitsett SF, Gudmundsdottir M, Davies B, et al. Chemotherapy-related fatigue in childhood cancer: correlates, consequences, and coping strategies. *J Pediatric Oncology Nursing* 2008;25:86-96.
- Akbaş AN, Uysal MF, Özengin N. Akut lenfoblastik lenfoma' li çocuklarda hospitalizasyon sürecinde kas iskelet sisteminin durumu, uyku ve yaşam kalitesi: tanımlayıcı pilot çalışma. *Adnan Menderes Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Fakültesi Dergisi* 2018;2:31-8.
- Klassen AF, Anthony SJ, Khan A, et al. Identifying determinants of quality of life of children with cancer and childhood cancer survivors: a systematic review. *Supportive Care in Cancer* 2011;19:1275-87.
- Rosen GM, Shor AC, Geller TJ. Sleep in children with cancer. *Current opinion in pediatrics*. 2008;20:676-81.
- Rosen G, Brand SR. Sleep in children with cancer: case review of 70 children evaluated in a comprehensive pediatric sleep center. *Supportive Care in Cancer* 2011;19:985-94.
- Walter LM, Nixon GM, Davey MJ, et al. Sleep and fatigue in pediatric oncology: A review of the literature. *Sleep Med Rev* 2015;24:71-82.
- Moore III BD. Neurocognitive outcomes in survivors of childhood cancer. *J Pediatric Psychology* 2005;30:51-63.
- Hornng-Shiuann Wu, Jean ED, Teofanes Natavio. Fatigue and disrupted sleep-wake patterns in patients with cancer: a shared mechanism. *Clin J Oncology Nursing* 2012;16:E56.
- Patterson JM, Holm KE, Gurney JG. The impact of childhood cancer on the family: a qualitative analysis of strains, resources, and coping behaviors. *Psycho Oncology* 2004;13:390-407.
- Varni JW, Limbers CA, Burwinkle TM. Parent proxy-report of their children's health-related quality of life: an analysis of 13,878 parents' reliability and validity across age subgroups using the PedsQL™ 4.0 Generic Core Scales. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2007;5:2.
- Langeveld N, Stam H, Grootenhuis MA, et al. Quality of life in young adult survivors of childhood cancer. *Support Care Cancer* 2002;10:579-600.
- Varni JW, Burwinkle TM, Lane MM. Health-related quality of life measurement in pediatric clinical practice: an appraisal and precept for future research and application. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2005;3:34.
- Varni JW, Burwinkle TM, Katz ER, et al. The PedsQL™ in pediatric cancer. reliability and validity of the Pediatric quality of life inventory generic core scales, multidimensional fatigue scale, and cancer module. *Cancer* 2002;94:2090-106.
- Tanir MK, Kuguoglu S. Turkish validity and reliability of a pediatric quality of life cancer module for children aged 8-12 and parents. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2011;12:125-30.
- Tanir MK, Kuğuoğlu S. Pediatrik yaşam kalitesi envanteri (PedsqI) Sağlık bakım memnuniyeti hematoloji/onkoloji modülü ebeveyn formu geçerlik-güvenirliliği. *Ankara Sağlık Hizmetleri Dergisi* 2013;11:13-23.
- Fiş NP, Arman A, Ay P, ve ark. Çocuk uyku alışkanlıkları anketinin türkçe geçerliliği ve güvenilirliği. *Anadolu Psikiyatri Dergisi* 2010;11:151-60.
- Owens JA, Spirito A, McGuinn M. The Children's sleep habits questionnaire (CSHQ): psychometric properties of a survey instrument for school-aged children. *Sleep* 2000;23:1043-51.
- Bek N, Simsek IE, Erel S, et al. Turkish version of impact on family scale: a study of reliability and validity. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2009;7:4.
- Kolk AM, Schipper JL, Hanewald GJ, et al. The Impact-on-Family Scale: a test of invariance across culture. *J pediatric psychol* 2000;25:323-9.
- Zupanec S, Jones H, Stremmer R. Sleep habits and fatigue of children receiving maintenance chemotherapy for ALL and their parents. *J Pediatric Oncology Nursing* 2010;27:217-28.
- van Litsenburg RR, Huisman J, Hoogerbrugge PM, et al. Impaired sleep affects quality of life in children during maintenance treatment for acute lymphoblastic leukemia: an exploratory study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2011;9:25.
- Gordijn MS, van Litsenburg RR, Gemke RJ, et al. Sleep, fatigue, depression, and quality of life in survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatric Blood Cancer* 2013;60:479-85.
- Eiser C, Eiser JR, Stride CB. Quality of life in children newly diagnosed with cancer and their mothers. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2005;3:29.
- Roddenberry A, Renk K. Quality of life in pediatric cancer patients: The relationships among parents' characteristics, children's characteristics, and informant concordance. *J Child Family Studies* 2007;17:402-26.
- Essig S, Nicolas X, Strippoli M-PF, et al. Health-related quality of life in long-term survivors of relapsed childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e38015.
- Zebrack BJ, Chesler MA. Quality of life in childhood cancer survivors. *Psycho-Oncology* 2002;11:132-41.
- Patterson JM, Garwick AW, Bennett FC, et al. Social support in families of children with chronic conditions: supportive and nonsupportive behaviors. *J Developmental Behavioral Pediatrics* 1997;18:383-91.
- Herschbach Peter. The " Well-being paradox" in quality-of-life research. *Psychotherapie Psychosomatik Medizinische Psychologie* 2002;52:141-50.
- Ness KK, Baker KS, Dengel DR, et al. Body composition, muscle strength deficits and mobility limitations in adult survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatric Blood Cancer* 2007;49:975-81.
- Engelen V, Koopman H, Detmar S, et al. Health-related quality of life after completion of successful treatment for childhood cancer. *Pediatric Blood Cancer* 2011;56:646-53.
- Parsons SK, Fairclough DL, Wang J, et al. Comparing longitudinal assessments of quality of life by patient and parent in newly diagnosed children with cancer: the value of both raters' perspectives. *Qual Life Res* 2012;21:915-23.
- Yeh CH, Chang CW, Chang PC. Evaluating quality of life in children with cancer using children's self-reports and parent-proxy reports. *Nursing Res* 2005;54:354-62.
- Sung L, Klaassen R, Dix D, et al. Identification of paediatric cancer patients with poor quality of life. *Br J Cancer* 2009;100:82-8.
- Sitairesmi MN, Mostert S, Gundy CM, et al. Health-related quality of life assessment in Indonesian childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2008;6:96.